IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 5945 of 1988

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

S K SHAH

Versus

G E B

Appearance:

MR SHIVANG SHUKLA for MR YN OZA for Petitioners

MR MD PANDYA for Respondent No. 1, 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

Date of decision: 25/04/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Shri Shukla prayed for adjournment of this matter, but in view of the subsequent development which has been taken place, I do not find any ground for adjournment. Prayer made for adjournment is declined.

Shri Pandya learned counsel for the respondent produced on record the letter of Chief Administrative Officer of Gujarat Electricity Board, Vadodara addressed to him. The petitioners have been promoted. Regarding that the grievance was made in this Special Civil Application. Now as the petitioners have been promoted, nothing substantial survives in this Special Civil Application and the same is dismissed. Still if any grievance survives of the petitioners, the petitioners are free to make representation to the concerned officer of the Board. If such a representation is made, it is expected from the concerned officer to decide the same within one month from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. Liberty is also granted to the petitioner for revival of this Special Civil Application in case of any difficulty. Rule is accordingly discharged. No order as to costs.

(S.K.Keshote, J)

(Vipul)